



# Jesus' Core Being, His People and the Interest of Four of Them in the Earth

Jesus

April 21, 1998



I am, as you say, the core personality of that being known on this Earth as Jesus. I'd like to talk initially a little bit about who I really am and where I'm from and what I do. We'll expand on all of this later on in the book.

## From Binary to Individual Beings

I was first aware, cognizant of myself as a binary being, a dual being, you might say. Not exactly like a Siamese twin, as you have in the physical, but to keep it simple, if you were to take two gingerbread men (or women) cookies and superimpose one over the other—not directly over, but slightly offset—that's how I used to be. That's how most of the people where I live used to be.

In recent times (to me, recent times are measured in billions of experiential years), we started moving and we were, as you would now perceive us, individuals. Our council is made up of everyone in this place where I live. Although not everybody comments all the time, we're all on the council; we don't have representatives. Our council had no explanation for this quite radical change in our demonstrated selves. We had always been dual, and it was quite strange to find oneself becoming singular.

The transition to singularity, or what you would perceive as individuality, took awhile—in terms of experiential years, perhaps a billion years.

Yet, as we are immortals, that's not so very long. It happened slowly enough that we could realize something was happening, but not so fast that we would be traumatized by it. When some of us started becoming actual individuals, there was a considerable discussion over the idea. Was something wrong? Had something artificial stimulated this? The consensus at that time was that there was nothing artificial, that it was normal and to be expected.

Now, as the process went on and more and more of our people became individuals, we were very curious about the process. At first we considered it a phenomenon, then gradually, as individual personalities, we realized that we were, in fact, still intact on the personality level. We hadn't lost anything when our duality, our other self, split off. Each became the totality of our former selves. It wasn't the kind of separation you experience as man and woman; it was more of a completed duplication (one might say that), although we had always been this dual kind of being.

As we considered what this was suggesting, the consensus developed that this was the process of creating individuality from something else. Thus our society, which had been largely set up to accommodate dual beings, had to make quite significant changes to accommodate individual beings, which we had all become. We considered that for some time, then decided that this was not an accident. We were not conscious, of course, of anybody stimulating it, but it was not an accident and it meant something.

When we were going through these different changes, where a society built up in every way for dual beings suddenly had to accommodate singular beings, we felt, as a result of our experience, that it was positive, that nothing had been lost and that to some extent something had been gained. So we decided that if other societies wished to experience it, this would be a good system.

#### Individuality: Threads of Potential

Since it is our job (what we do here) to create threads of potential, I'll call them, and release them in all directions to be utilized by creators and/or enlightened individuals anywhere, we felt that we would release the threads of individuality, which we did. Then we felt that there was more to it; perhaps it ought to be coded with individuality as a growth system. We released that.

Then others said, "What about individuality as a growth system that includes all beings?" We had to consider that for a while and discuss it. Many of our people wanted to know if there should be any limits on all beings or whether it should be open—inclusive of all beings everywhere, including beings we weren't aware of. We decided that if the codification

was built in and released in all directions so that it would have to be a benevolent experience (if not immediately, at least ultimately), it would be all right. That was the thread of the Explorer Race.

### The Growth-Based Universe

I might add that from what I have seen, some aspects—not the totality in terms of what you've heard—of the Explorer Race are taking place in other mass creations. For instance, in one universe I know of, a creator is establishing the universe for beings who are exclusively interested in growth, not really anything else. As far as I can tell, this universe is based largely on the thread we sent out, since the universe will be peopled with individuals. Most likely at some point when the Explorer Race (as discussed in previous volumes) becomes a creator on its own and eventually moves up in dimensions after creating a replacement, one of the first places you will go is to this universe having to do with growth. As a creator, you are going to have a very high priority and good feeling about growth because of your ultimate purpose. And when you visit this universe, as a creator, you will probably discover many other ways for growth.

This is likely to become, then, a very meaningful place for you. When you begin to create your own universe, not just take over this one, you will utilize your accumulated experiences here, and half the motivation behind your new creation will be from your visit to the place that is exclusively growth-oriented. In my understanding, then, you might say (although it is not totally accurate) that the creator involved in this growth universe is really laying out the foundation—the welcome mat, if you would—for you to be able to find it easily. Because that universe is growth and you will be growth, in the larger sense your future agenda is actually being laid out by what this creator is doing.

I wanted to mention that to you so that you could see that the threads we create here ultimately have a purpose and intent even though we do not make them so structured. Rather, we send them out, not unlike you might send out a loose idea or a possible suggestion to others.

### In Becoming Individuals, We Discovered Friends

When I became my individual self, I began to understand something that you all, on your planet where you are now, understand very well: As a dual being connected to all other dual beings on our place of existence, I felt a total sense of family with all of our fellow beings. With a sense of individuality, being individual, I still felt family, but I discovered friends, as others did in our creation.

When we were as a dual being (some of our members still say complete being, although that's not quite accurate from my perception), we

felt family; it was a total bond, a total trust, a total continuity of us all. As individuals, we still have that bond, but as individuals we have the opportunity to explore friendship, what you might call intimate kinship. Not intimate in the same ways you have, but the kind of thing where you would do something with someone. Before, every time we did something it would be with everyone, and we also codified that into the strands we sent out. So we continued to send out energy to any creator who picked up a strand of our continuing experience, offering (not demanding, of course) to that creator the opportunity to integrate what we had learned.

When this experience of friends sort of took us by storm and everybody was doing it and having a wonderful time discovering friendship, there was quite an upswing in interest in those Explorer Race threads, because here was something new and different (to us then—and this is billions and billions of experiential years ago!). There was not exactly enough separation to create the necessity for friends, because the separation wasn't there, but with individuality and singularity come more variety. When there is so much variety, there is a sense of reassurance when one finds someone or spends time with someone who is similar. When we sent this out, the whole concept of moving beyond the total bondedness toward individuals with bondedness and friends, it became very popular very fast. I don't think we can take credit for inventing it, but we might take a little credit for discovering it by having it happen to us and by sharing it. Credit, of course, is not what it's about.

#### My Home and My Brief Earth Visit

This place (readers of the Explorer Race books know what I mean) is beyond Love's Creation, beyond all the creations associated with the Love beings. It is, as far as I know, a beginning and an end in its own right. We all believe in this place. It is a complete consensus; it is part of our awareness, and we're certain that there is more. We are certain partly because of the physical evidence that we as individuals did not consciously stimulate this change from duality to singularity. This suggests that somebody else did. Also, we have no capacity to imagine experiential limits. Theoretically, even if there wasn't more, because of our concept, bedrock to our philosophy, there has to be more because of what we absolutely believe. So this is not only reassuring, but also, I feel, self-perpetuating.

I have been in this place all my life except for the brief (in terms of years, a very brief) amount of time in which I went to the Earth to experience the greater impact of individuality. This is the initial reason I went. Because all our people in our place of existence are very interested now in individuality, of course I wanted to personally experience individuality

as a life experience [laughs]. As a life experience, individuality, though it has its downside, as you like to say, also has a profound upside, in the sense that it allows the immortal personality (your personalities are also immortal) to experience life simultaneously as a participant and an outsider. Individuals, if they are not conscious of their total being, will have many opportunities here on Earth to feel like outsiders; yet the larger you is always connected to all life, and you are aware of this in most places other than this profound school you find yourself in.

Since I came here to experience individuality, one of the first things that was attractive to me, even though I always remembered who I was, was the necessity not only for loved ones and family but, as I grew a little older, the actual requirement for friends. Let's say you have a particularly good friend; you will be included while others will be doing what they're doing with their friends. So you are simultaneously included and excluded, although many times you don't care if you're excluded because you're not interested in doing what those other people are doing.

#### A Way to Understand Growth

I want to tell you that this experience of being included and excluded at the same moment is unique to this place. It forces growth because the inclusion feels so wonderful, whereas your sense of choice and of your [different] opportunities require exclusion to some extent. These two forces, from what I've seen, are what stimulate growth. Not only is the obvious present—"I want but I can't have"—but also "I have and I don't need." So what is happening on this world, when I visited it and now, is in many cases duality. Here you have the complete circle. You have the experience in many ways of male/female, young/old, rich/poor and so on—of duality. You can't actually get away from duality. So from our perception where we exist, you on Earth now are living monuments to duality while you experiment with individuality, which in your case stimulates significant growth.

When I came here, then, that was my great interest. Is it possible to experience growth that is benevolent for yourself and others, should they wish to participate though they are not required? That is why, when I had the opportunity to share my philosophy as I grew up, I wished to share it in such a way as to make it available for those who wished to participate, yet not exclude others who didn't.

When I shared my philosophy, I made certain that it would require growth—that it would offer benevolence and at the same time be demanding. It is a philosophy that's demanding. Understanding the culture and the religions of the time, those religions were also fairly demanding. It did not come to pass then, though it will in your time, perhaps (or

a little bit longer, seventy-five or more years from now). I think it will become as I envisioned it, which is a mystical philosophy that incorporates the mystical, embraces individuality and duality, is both as inclusive and as exclusive as people want and allows for others to join and if they prefer, to do other things as much as they want.

My original purpose was, yes, to create a benevolent mystical tradition, but my personal purpose was to understand growth through the necessary tension of individuality and duality.

When you first got this idea, was it shortly before you came here, or had you had the idea a long time? How did you get permission to come here?

It didn't really require permission at the time, because many of us in my place of existence decided that it would be good to go someplace and see if we could either experiment (which is not our way, because an experiment involves too much control) or observe individuality in some form. Most went energetically. I was allowed to go physically, because physicality as compared to the spirit self is, to an extent, duality. Most did not want to even consider going physically, so I didn't have to stand in line. Most went energetically, but we all left at the same time.

The idea of my coming to Earth physically was perfectly acceptable to my fellow beings as long as I abridged what I would say. Being fully conscious, I could do that. Also, as anyone who's a teacher or in any kind of service knows, very often what you do with others is less involved with yourself than with them. So the needs of those you are serving will tend to guide you in terms of what you say and do. I let that occur for me. In that way, I didn't have to remain eternally vigilant that I would say something that could be considered an alteration of the basic existence here. Is that what you mean by saying that you had to abridge your words?

I would have to if there weren't individuals with specific needs. But almost always I was interacting with individuals with needs. They wanted to know, they wanted to understand—or, as many people experienced, they needed my help for something: "Help us, we're doing this." Then you help, and afterward you all eat and drink together and tell stories. It's no different in your time.

So you were allowed by your peers. But what about Love and all the way down into this creation? Was there any interaction with Love because you were going to put something into the experiment that would change it?

Well, you have to remember that my original purpose for coming was to study. Because of that and because beings who are studying are allowed to come and go freely, that was my open-door policy. The fact that I was going to share a mystical tradition that was a little (only a little) before its time was tolerated, from Love's point of view. From that point

of view, it was a long shot. She felt that the people needed more time; while I agreed with her, I felt that I'd like to put some seeds down. I never felt that what I was doing was any more than putting seeds down that would grow, perhaps bringing benevolent results sooner rather than later. In this way it was not controlling but, from my perception, augmenting.

#### Love's Permission: Communicating with Your Creator

Love felt that she could live with that, and as a result I was allowed to do these things. Your Creator was simply interested, not only because we are peers (I don't want to make this sound too grandiose, because your Creator is essentially shy), but your Creator was . . . not exactly proud, but wanted to see what I felt about Its application of individuality in the world in which you now live.

So during my first five or six years on the planet, when I wasn't interacting with my mother and father and others around me, I was in pretty constant communication with the Creator of this universe, who wanted to experience every nuance of my experience. After all, it was not normal that a fully conscious being would be born here in the usual way and experience things and be able to report on things in the way your Creator naturally communicates. So your Creator was very interested.

At the same time, what I shared with your Creator passed up the line, as you like to say, to my friends in my place of existence.

They were aware of what you were doing at all times.

They were aware of what I was doing insofar as that was an aspect of my communication with the Creator of this universe. When I got back, they became aware of more, because there are things other than individuality and duality. So [chuckles] . . .

. . . you have a friend here.

I have a companion here.

Is our Creator aware that you and your fellow beings created the Loves?

Yes, and the threads.

He knows that.

Yes. He knows this, and that, I think, is perhaps why we see eye to eye and also why your Creator is interested in my feedback. You understand, when my people created the Loves, we did not create everything inside the Loves; we created only the Loves. It would be as if you created the skin of the apple but not the meat. I just want to make that clear.

So you created the Loves, but then the Loves on their own volition then created what was in their creation.

Yes.

## Following the Thread

Did they follow threads?

It was available to them if they wished to. I think perhaps because of our experience of individuality and duality, this is only one of the stimulants in this creation for Love and for what she supported in coming to be with the Explorer Race. I think she was most interested in the aspect of benevolent change or growth for all beings. That was the theme she used to support such a system.

But you put out this open-ended thread. Our Creator says it has a whole scenario in it; how did that change? You put it out in a very broad way, so how did it get so specific by the time our Creator . . .

By the time your Creator got to it, it had already been infused significantly by Love. She had added more. If you drew a picture of a Morse code signal, dots and dashes, she filled in some of the dashes and added more dots. So by the time it got to your Creator, it was already a little clearer in its theme. We provided the foundation and, with her particular interests, she added some of the superstructure.

But did your people or this Love know of the incredible expansion that would result from this experiment?

No one could be absolutely certain. For a long time no one really understood the totality of the impact, nor did anyone really understand for a long time the ultimate effect, which would be to change everything benevolently and create more for everything and everyone benevolently.

It's one thing to consider it as a philosophical idea or a theory; it's another thing to look into the future and see how it turns out—which a lot of different beings have done, including beings who live in the future and look into the past and so on. While what occurs is still unwritten in the larger sense, some of it is possible to see. And we've had a long time to study it, to consider it—to see it, as it were. I don't think anyone really realized the wide-reaching impact of it.

It's incredible.

One might very easily become involved with the idea that the average human being will have a bigger brain and a greater love capacity in the heart, greater sensitivity and so on, yet at the same time the average being in all of creation will have a greater capacity in all the benevolent ways that exist for them. And because of our experience going from duality to individuality and discovering that we were not less, but more; not only will everybody become more, but there will be more to become after that! Once more is stimulated, it becomes an ever-increasing capacity, as much as I've been able to see. It's as if the pot never goes empty, and

even becomes bigger on its own! Thus more is stimulated, and because of the editorial policy of benevolence, it can only be a good thing for all beings.

### My Friend Qua

Are you from the same time line as Qua? You said he's from a million years in the future.

I am not, but I still know Qua and he is my friend. I have been to that future in . . . it's hard to describe, but Qua has situated itself (himself/herself) in the future in order to understand how things develop. When you go into any future, you can't understand how things turn out. You can only understand better how things develop. As you go into that future and stop on whatever time line you're on, things don't develop exactly along that time line because as they become more, everything becomes more! So things change, they become more; more is added. If you go back on that time line and then come forward on the same time line, things are different! You can only get a sense of how things are.

So you might say, yes, Qua and I are in the same time. The larger Qua is here where I am at this time, but part of himself is in that future so that he can understand fully the impact of not only what I tried to do, but all the flavors that fed back into what I tried to do. In any event, it gradually becomes, I believe, what Love's original intent was. This is completely established about four hundred years from now in your time, but it begins to happen very soon. Even now there are Christian churches that have begun to openly embrace the mystical. In the beginning of what has come to be known as Christianity, for the first few hundred years the gatherings (not churches) were very mystical, much more so than now. Some of that was lost for a time, but it will return.

### Earth Creatures Assist Humans

One thing that will support that return is a greater connection between human beings and all other living beings on the Earth, not only those who are present with you now, but those who have existed in the past. When I refer to living beings, I'm not only talking about your ancestors, but also four-legged beings, many-legged beings, winged beings and finned beings—all of these. As this connection becomes more solid, then a lot of relaxation goes on in the human being, because the human being will realize that it is not as necessary as they thought to do everything. Even many great mystics who exist in your time now have a greater sense of urgency because even though they know they're not isolated and that there are others like them out there, they still feel that there is more for them to do than they can do, and this sometimes tires people out.

Because what you call the animals are doing so much, there's not as

much to do as you think. An individual ant or spider will perform and create energetically in a single twenty-four-hour period as much benevolent energy as a prayer group or a meditation circle might produce in ten minutes at the deepest level of their work together. That is why all these different individuals doing all these things all the time are much more unified in their multiples than is apparent.

That is amazing! I don't think humans understand that, or that it's been talked about or taught.

### Teaching People to Connect to the Creatures around Them

It's my intent to remind you of these things. When I came to Earth in that life, I spoke a lot about the life around the people. A lot of the people I spoke to were dissatisfied and, not unlike your time, their world was not what they needed it to be. They wanted something more or different, just like now, and they needed it. If they couldn't at that time have something more or different, I would teach them how by connecting with other types of beings. I taught them how to blend with the birds so that they could feel themselves in flight, or with the fish so they could feel total harmony. There is no being as much in harmony with its total surroundings as the average fish. I would teach them how to feel like the animals they would raise, when those animals were vigorous, so that they would understand what the animals needed from them if they could provide it.

Sometimes they need to have their own space, in the case of sheep or goats or whatever creatures. For a long time the shepherd would be too close to the animals, wanting to protect them. But after a while they realized they could stand off at a distance and connect to the animals. For instance, as the shepherd got twenty or thirty feet away, then a hundred feet away and maybe sat on some rocks, as he moved away from them he would feel the animals actually relaxing. Sometimes there would be more than one shepherd, or there might be different ways of interacting with the animals.

I taught this not only to expand the people's experience of their world, but also so that they could understand that the animals were really part of them, that most of the urges of animal beings have direct parallels in the human world. For the sake of human beings, most of the mystical traditions of the average animal have paralleled the needs in the human being. So if you could connect with these animals in benevolent ways for yourself and the animal, you might find yourself dreaming or feeling nurtured or encouraged to do more spiritual, benevolent things for yourself, your family, your tribe and your people, because these spiritual practices are so integrated into the animal world.

Are you on a time line that relates to ours? In your world, is it two thousand years

ago that you were here?

### My Seeds Have Sprouted and Grown

No, I am not on that time line now. I came into that time line because I wanted to plant those seeds. I must say that even though everything didn't turn out exactly the way I would have liked, those seeds have sprouted and grown. I did not, after all, create on my own these spiritual practices I'm talking about; others had already been to Earth and were practicing these things all over the Earth. But in that part of the world at that time, people were not practicing those things very much. Some people in more ancient religions that were more involved with phenomena—the seasons, the Sun, the Moon, the stars—were a little bit more on the track of what I was teaching. The people I was trying to reach were not the people who were already on that track, but the people who had wandered off the track, who were involved in conflict either to suppress others or to try to liberate themselves, and I wanted to give both groups exposure to the broader philosophy. I think it helped.

If you think about it, the dominant force in the region at the time was Rome, and I can assure you that the Roman Empire did not consider in those days the idea of equality and benevolence to be its watchwords. Yet look how they've embraced it! It is really quite an astonishing thing. I am sure you could cite many faults with the Catholic Church, yet one of its basic tenets is equality as far as one parishioner to another is concerned. Even though the church has a hierarchical structure, they'll get over it. But a lot of the things I was trying to teach are there, and Rome embraced it. If you had been living in those times, they would be absolutely the last people on Earth you would have considered to so fully embrace such a philosophy.

I have heard it argued that they usurped it to use for their own means, but that is only partially true. Ultimately the basic tenets of the religion are very closely connected to what I was teaching, in the broad sense. I, of course, wasn't teaching hierarchical things, but a lot of the philosophy is there.

I am not saying that one religion is better than another; I am just saying that it has not been such a failure as you might think. And since my intention was to plant seeds, I would have to say they have sprouted, though they are not yet done growing.

They haven't flowered yet, right?

Perhaps not. But they are getting sturdy and strong, and when they do flower, it will be a fragrance to enjoy and a sight to behold.

And you'll be here then?

I've always been here; I've always watched.

### The Return of Jesus, Buddha and Others

Yes, I know that. Will you come back in a way that we can see you?

I'll send some part of myself in a way that people will have some idea at first who I might be. But in order for the philosophy I will be sharing to do any good at all, I will have to ask others who have been here and who are now recognized as great teachers, and more who will be recognized this way in the future, to come with me so that what we are doing . . .

Who?

. . . oh, Buddha, for example, so that what we are doing will not be misunderstood. It is to be seen as an international training for all races and nationalities (although by that time nationality won't be as much a factor), representing men, women, children, older people. No exclusions.

You and Buddha, that's pretty fantastic! Who else?

Think of anybody that you've heard of related to profound philosophies that have had great impact, anybody.

Is Buddha or any of these other teachers from your place?

Buddha was. As I said, many of the things I was teaching were not new to the planet; they were just new to that area at that time. After all, Buddha's intent was fairly similar. He wanted to put down seeds that would be right for that area geographically, seeds that could support that area, and they have flowered very well. They've sprouted and flowered!

### Buddha, Mohammed and I, from the Same Source

Who else came from your place and started a religion or philosophy?

You name names and I will confirm or say no. For instance, Martin Luther did not come from this place. I mention him because of Qu's friendship with him.

Lao-tzu?

No, but he has been a welcome guest on many occasions, being a profound and influential teacher. From his philosophy, he would rather have been an unknown influential teacher; that is perhaps to his great benefit.

For instance, the founder of Islam was from my place. He came here and was very successful in terms of integrating philosophies. If you read the Koran, you will find that a great deal of it has to do with equality, benevolence and other good things.

This is amazing. You're saying that several of you came and sort of touched in at different times and places on the planet to seed this . . .

To seed benevolent principles under the guise of different geographic and nationalistic (at that time) cultures. The basic tenets of the philosophies are very similar. That is why people often say all religions are the same. Even though they're not, a lot of the basic factors are very similar, if not the same.

This is only certain people who were involved with religions that profoundly impacted what we can call the modern world, the last seven or eight thousand years.

Was Buddha conscious while he was here, as you were?

Buddha was not conscious all the time. He decided to remain as an individual Earth person for quite a bit of his life because he felt that a being could not fully understand Earth individuality if he were conscious all the time. I honored that. I feel that he was more conscious than the average person when he was a young man. But as soon as he started teaching his philosophy and becoming more focused and, for those who knew him in those days, more obviously happy and cheerful, he was remembering who he was. Toward the end of his life he remembered, which is why he was so quick to laugh, but when he was a younger man, he was serious, like other men of his time.

How do you feel about that issue? Do you feel you got the full benefit of individuality by remaining conscious?

If I had it to do over again, I might have chosen to live a different life while I was a young man, to be only an Earth person in consciousness, not unlike Buddha. But in terms of what I did, I am not dissatisfied. I can see that what Buddha did has great validity, but I'm satisfied with what I did. If he did it, then I have experienced it also.

Because you are all connected?

Yes.

Some of our metaphysical philosophies say that you had lived lives before and after the life of Jesus; that's not true, then? You lived only that one life on Earth?

I'd have to say that it wasn't exactly true, no. That is the only incarnation, as you understand it to be, that I have experienced on Earth.

### Touching Other Humans' Lives

Did you touch into or walk into or share or create other bodies that influenced or impacted us?

No one you would know.

You did it before or after?

I touched into before and after. Many of my fellow beings will touch into someone here and there. We might spend a little time with them, support their saying or doing benevolent things for themselves and oth-

ers. It is not always that, but perhaps people will say sometimes, “He is showing . . .” and then they use words associated with their religion, showing some aspect of God by doing that. In some way they might say that by way of an acknowledgment and blessing and to perhaps encourage the children.

Are there any lives you touched into where you felt you learned more or gained something, or was it all to help?

I learned something by touching into Florence Nightingale. Considering the circumstances she was working under, I learned that even if one does not greatly impact the overall suffering one exists in, the individual that one serves becomes a part of oneself during that service. So there is that individuality/duality. I touched into her for a time, learning that in a physical way instead of just experiencing it as a bedrock philosophy.

#### The Meaning of “Christ”

The term “Christ”—where did that come from?

It’s just a word. It has many different meanings, but the idea originally was to indicate a level of awareness within an individual. That’s the original intention.

It means opening the heart and the heart chakra, but what does it mean related to you?

It became what it is now, a godlike quality. I don’t care for that definition because it fosters separation. But it was originally created to be something to live up to, to emulate—and it was possible to emulate it. It was originally a descriptive word, whereas now it has become, as you say, a noun.

The idea that has been put forward is that you were “overshadowed” by the Christ. Is that totally in error, or is it correct?

It depends on how you look at it. If you look at it politically, you might say that it’s so, because a few hundred years after my having been on Earth, I was turned into what amounts to a political figure in order to establish a hierarchical church. So you might say it’s true in a way. But when I was living here, I would not say that that was so.

My intention in coming here was accomplished. I planted seeds. It wasn’t just to see if we could make it happen right then. It was also to explore other experiences of individuality and duality, which I learned something from. So I feel I have gained as well as provided, and it is my intention to provide more in the future. Right now it is through these words and the occasional picture. Ultimately, it is to return to be amongst you, to walk with you hand in hand, to support you, to assist you and to help you help others of your own kind to be the best of benevolence that

Jesus' Core Being, His People and the Interest of Four of Them in the Earth G 15

you can comfortably accommodate. Good night.